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Presentation Overview

- The Current Policy Context
- Key Findings of Factors Affecting:
  - Graduation Rates
  - NCLEX Pass Rates
  - Retention in North Carolina Workforce
- Policy Implications and Conclusions
- Recommendations
- Questions?
Why a study of ADN programs?

- Projected shortage of nurses
- Perfect storm of factors: aging population and aging nursing workforce
- Annual per capita growth in nursing supply is slowing

![Figure 1. Growth in Registered Nurses per Population North Carolina, 1990-2006](image)

Data include active, in-state RNs licensed in North Carolina as of October 31 each year.

*Prior to 2000, RN license renewal occurred in December of each year. Data in 2002 were the first year that reflected the shift to licensure renewal by birth month. Numbers for 2002 were lower than usual because they reflected RNs who left the file in the 22 months between January 2001 and October 2002.*

Source: North Carolina Health Professions Data System with data derived from the North Carolina Board of Nursing, 1990-2006.
Why a study of ADN programs?

In 2006, ~1 in 3 vacant positions in NC hospitals was for a clinical nurse

**Figure 9. Total Hospital Vacancies in 2006 by Category**

- Clinical RNs: 31%
- Other: 24%
- Administration: 10%
- Pharmacy: 2%
- Allied Health: 12%
- Other Nursing Support: 12%
- CNAs: 9%

**Total Vacant Positions: 8,063**

*Note: Data were imputed for hospitals not reporting information.*

But the real issue for NC is misdistribution of RNs

Per capita supply of nurses in non-shortage areas is 2.5 times greater than in NC’s most underserved counties

Registered Nurses per 10,000 Population by Persistent Health Professional Shortage Area (PHPSA) Status
North Carolina, 1979 to 2006


Source for Health Professional Shortage Areas: Area Resource File, HRSA, Department of Health and Human Services, 2006. Persistent HPSAs are those designated as HPSAs by HRSA from 1999 through 2005, or in 6 of the last 7 releases of HPSA definitions.
Pre-licensure RN Nursing Programs

- ADN graduates comprise 68% of all prelicensure RN graduates
- Number of ADN graduates up 27% since 2003, but attrition remains problematic

### Number of Graduates from All Entry-level RN Programs in North Carolina: 2003-2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program Type</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>% Change 2003-2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hospital diploma</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>-25.20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADN*</td>
<td>1,804</td>
<td>1,842</td>
<td>2,359</td>
<td>2,292</td>
<td>+ 27.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prelicensure BSN**</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>855</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>+ 41.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prelicensure MSN</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>na</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total RN Graduates</strong></td>
<td>2,629</td>
<td>2,836</td>
<td>3,422</td>
<td>3,380</td>
<td>+ 28.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes all ADN RN programs in the state (generic RN, LPN-RN, PT/weekend programs)

**Includes prelicensure BSN, accelerated BSN and paramedic RN students

Source: NC IOM Nursing Taskforce Update, 2008
These are tough financial times...

- In the context of:
  - current budget woes
  - nursing faculty shortages
  - high cost of nursing education

- Reducing attrition rates from existing programs is less expensive option than expanding enrollment or opening new programs

- Findings from this study show:
  - 100 new program slots yields ~54 new RNs
  - 100 new graduates yields ~90 new RNs
Attrition from ADN programs

- NCCCS aware that attrition is a problem, but little empirical evidence exists on factors associated with attrition.

- In November 2007, NCCCS asked Sheps Center to conduct a study of ADN program attrition and its causes.

- Current study:
  - Builds on work of NC Institute of Medicine and North Carolina Center for Nursing.
  - Collaborative effort between Sheps Center and North Carolina Community College System (NCCCS).
Project Goals and Timeline

- **Goal:** Identify policy levers that can be used to increase program completion rates

- **Timeline**
  1. Report of preliminary findings to NCCCS (April 30\textsuperscript{th})
  2. Presentation at Issues Luncheon (May 15\textsuperscript{th})
  3. Final report presentation to State Board retreat (October 15, 2008)
  4. Supplemental analyses completed and printed reports submitted to NCCCS (November 22, 2008)
Three outcomes used to evaluate nursing program success

Figure 2. Analysis of Outcomes to Determine “Successful” Nursing Program

Students Take Nursing 110/115 in Fall 2002

1. **Outcome #1**
   - Retention
   - Complete Program On-Time (within 3 years)

2. **Outcome #2**
   - NCLEX Success
   - Pass Nursing Licensure Exam (NCLEX) on 1st Attempt

3. **Outcome #3**
   - Retention in Nursing Workforce
   - Actively Practice Nursing in NC or Enroll in a UNC System BSN Program*

*Note: Data were only available for UNC System programs; private programs are not included.
Conceptual Model

Figure 3. Conceptual Model of Factors Influencing ADN Program Success

STUDENT DEMOGRAPHIC & SOCIOECONOMIC CHARACTERISTICS

ADN PROGRAM CHARACTERISTICS
- Admissions Policies
- Faculty & Instructional Characteristics
- Academic & Social Support Services

MEASURES OF SUCCESS
- Graduation
- NCLEX Performance
- Workforce Participation
Characteristics of Student Cohort Tracked in the Study
Important Definitions and Caveats

- Study examines outcomes for cohort that took Nursing 110 or Nursing 115 in Fall 2002

- On-time graduation means completing ADN program by Summer 2005

- Non-completers include students who are:
  - still enrolled
  - late ADN graduates
  - graduates in other fields
  - enrolled in other fields and
  - no longer enrolled in NCCCS
Excluded from Cohort

- 59 students enrolled in Wake Tech’s ADN program who entered program in Summer 2002
- 58 students in Wayne CC's ADN program—data were not available at time of study
- Exclusion of these programs does not affect validity of findings
- Supplemental analyses currently underway for Wake and Wayne ADN programs
2002 Enrollment

Map 1.
County of Residence in 2002 for Students in 2002 NCCCS ADN Cohort
North Carolina

Number of Students
(# of Counties)
- 50 to 101 Students (12)
- 25 to 49 Students (25)
- 10 to 24 Students (29)
- 1 to 9 Students (33)
- No ADN Students (1)

ADN Programs That Are Part of a Consortium
- Foothills
- NEWH
- Region A

Note: There were 17 out-of-state students enrolled in the 2002 cohort.
"Newly enrolled" indicates the number of students enrolled in a gateway nursing class (NUR 110/115) in Fall 2002.
Source: North Carolina Health Professions Data System, with data derived from the North Carolina Community College System, 2008.
Produced by: North Carolina Health Professions Data System, Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
Demographics: Age

Figure 4. Age Distribution of Students in the 2002 NCCCS ADN Cohort

- Age 24-40: 56.4%
- Age 18-23: 30.3%
- Age 41+: 13.3%

N= 2,237.
Figure 5. Racial/Ethnic Background of Students in the 2002 NCCCS ADN Cohort

White: 79.8%
African American: 14.3%
American Indian: 2.3%
Other*: 3.6%

N= 2,237. “Other” includes Asian, Hispanic, and ‘other’ race/ethnicity.
Figure 6. Education Background of Students in the 2002 NCCCS ADN Cohort

- High School Diploma: 75.7%
- Bachelor's Degree: 6.8%
- Associate's Degree: 4.3%
- GED: 9.3%
- Vocational Diploma: 3.2%
- Post-High School Diploma: 0.2%

N= 2,237.
Demographics: Disadvantaged Background

Figure 7. Percent of Students from Disadvantaged Backgrounds in the 2002 NCCCS ADN Cohort

- Pell Grant Recipient in 2002: 43%
- High Poverty Zip Code*: 46%
- Low Education Zip Code**: 16%

N = 2,237

*High poverty ZIP codes are those which in 2003 included 10% or more of all families were living below 100% Federal Poverty Level in 2003.
**Low education ZIP codes are those in which one third or more of population aged 25 and older had less than a high school education in 2003.

Outcome 1: Graduation Rates
Outcome 1: Percent of Students Graduating within 3 Years

Figure 9.
Outcome 1: Percent of ADN Students Graduating On-Time

2,237 Students in Fall 2002 Cohort

1,365 (61%) Graduate by Summer 2005

872 (39%) Do Not Graduate by Summer 2005
Influence of Student-Level Characteristics on Graduation Rates

- To what degree do student characteristics explain variation in on-time graduation rates?

- Used statistical analyses to account for fact that:
  - Student characteristics are often correlated with one another — students from diverse racial and ethnic backgrounds may also have Pell Grants
  - Important differences exist between ADN programs in admission policies, student support services, instructional techniques, as well as faculty academic preparation and remuneration.
## Percentage Point Change in Probability of Graduation by Student Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk Factors</th>
<th>Student Characteristics in 2002</th>
<th>Percentage Point Change in Probability of Graduation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 18-23 (vs age 24-40)</td>
<td>-14.14 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age 41+ (vs age 24-40)</td>
<td>-8.86 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African American (vs white)</td>
<td>-19.96 ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Race/Ethnicity (vs white)</td>
<td>-18.57 **</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GED (vs HS diploma)</td>
<td>-8.84 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pell Grant Recipient (vs none)</td>
<td>-4.14 *</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supporting Factor</td>
<td>Associate Degree (vs HS diploma)</td>
<td>8.52 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* indicates p < .10, ** indicates p < .05, *** indicates p < .01.
High- vs Low-Performing Programs

- On-time graduation rates by ADN program ranged from 24% to 85%
- Distribution of student risk characteristics not spread evenly across ADN programs
- Challenge: how to identify programs that performed better or worse than expected given their student populations?
Created Risk Adjusted Graduation Rate (RAGR)

- Calculated predicted graduation rate for each ADN program given the student population

- Risk adjusted rate
  \[ \text{Risk adjusted rate} = \frac{\text{Actual Graduation Rate}}{\text{Predicted Graduation Rate}} \]

- Number greater than 1: over-performing
- Number less than 1: under-performing

- ADN Program RAGRs ranged from .4 (very low performing) to 1.4 (high performing)
What Explains Differences between High- and Low-Performing Programs?

- Found few differences between high- and low-performing programs in faculty- and program-level characteristics.

- But there were some differences. Programs that out-performed expectations:
  - Ranked applicants on standardized test scores
  - Required applicants to meet basic science competency requirement
  - Employed slightly more Master’s faculty
  - Had higher faculty turnover rates
  - Had faculty who were less likely to teach in both clinical and lecture settings
  - Required orientation for clinical instructors
Outcome 2: NCLEX Pass Rates
Outcome 2: NCLEX Success Rates

Figure 20. Outcome 2: ADN Graduates’ NCLEX Success Rates on First Attempt

1,151 (84%) Passed NCLEX on 1st Attempt

185 (14%) Did Not Pass NCLEX on 1st Attempt

28 (2%) Did Not Take NCLEX by December 2006

137 (74%) Passed NCLEX on Subsequent Attempt

1,365 Graduated by Summer 2005
### Percentage Point Change in Probability of Passing NCLEX on 1st Attempt by Student and Program Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student and Program Characteristics in 2002</th>
<th>Percentage Point Change in Probability of Passing NCLEX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 18-23</td>
<td>-6.20 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science Competency Requirement</td>
<td>7.17 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranking on Standardized Test Performance</td>
<td>5.67 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Lectures Taught by Faculty with Masters Degree</td>
<td>5.18 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most or All Lectures Team Taught</td>
<td>-5.56 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Faculty with Masters Degree</td>
<td>0.15 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*<p<0.1,  *p<0.05

North Carolina Health Professions Data System with data from survey of NCCCS ADN Program Directors, March and April 2008.
Creating the Right Incentives: The Tradeoff between Graduation vs. NCLEX

- NCLEX pass rate ranged from 59% to 100%
- NCLEX pass rate important because of Board of Nursing requirement for 3 year average pass rate > 95% of US average
- Current incentive structure may focus too much on high NCLEX pass rates
- Good number of programs with high NCLEX pass rates have low graduation rates
Creating the Right Incentives: The Tradeoff between Graduation vs. NCLEX

How to evaluate program performance?

- Need for a composite measure that combines risk-adjusted graduation rate with NCLEX pass rate

- Composite measure would:
  - Shift emphasis away from test performance as goal unto itself
  - Put emphasis on program output into workforce
  - Remove incentive to achieve high NCLEX pass rates at expense of high attrition rates
  - Be more equitable and efficient way of evaluating program performance
Outcome 3: Retention in Nursing Workforce in North Carolina
**For every 100 students who graduate from a NCCCS ADN program, 90 end up in practice in NC**
Key Findings about Retention: Geographic Distribution

- NCCCS ADN nurses retained in high numbers in NC
- NCCCS ADN nurses practice close to where they were educated
- Compared to NC BSN cohort that graduated at same time, NCCCS ADN nurses are
  - Two times more likely to practice in rural areas
  - Three times more likely to practice in NC’s most underserved communities
Distribution of ADN vs. BSN Graduates

Map 3.
Distribution of ADN and BSN Cohorts of Graduates in Active Practice in North Carolina, 2006

Legend (n)
- One ADN Program (43)
- One BSN Program (15)
- Metropolitan (40)
- Nonmetropolitan (60)
  - 1 Dot = 1 ADN Program Graduate (1,013)
  - 1 Dot = 1 BSN Program Graduate (803)

ADN Programs That Are Part of a Consortium
- Foothills
- NEWH
- Region A

Bold boundaries indicate counties that are part of a consortium.

*Note: Dots are scattered randomly within ZIP code areas. Data include North Carolina ADN and BSN graduates from the 2002 cohort who were actively practicing in North Carolina as of 2006. Source: North Carolina Health Professions Data System, with data derived from the North Carolina Board of Nursing, 2006. Produced by: North Carolina Health Professions Data System, Cecil G. Sheps Center for Health Services Research, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
Key Findings about Retention: Employment Setting

- Most new graduates go into in-patient hospital settings

- But, if graduates from ADN cohort had distributed in equal proportions as BSN cohort, there would have been:
  - 37 fewer RNs in home care/hospice
  - 48 fewer RNs in long-term care
  - 13 fewer RNs in mental health facilities

- Key finding: ADN nurses cluster near where they were educated.
  - Graduates enter practice in home communities which are more likely to be rural and underserved and are employed in practice settings more common in these communities.
Summary of Findings about Retention

- Existing policy debate has focused on overall supply of nurses, findings of this study emphasize importance of ADN graduates to distribution of nurses both geographically and by employment setting.

- Fact that ADN nurses are retained in high numbers and practice in underserved communities/settings makes compelling case to address attrition.
Recommendations
Recommendations

Performance Measures

- A uniform method should be used by the NCCCS and the North Carolina Board of Nursing (NC BoN) to calculate retention rates.

- Definition of “on-time” graduation should be based on National League of Nursing definition of 150% of program length.

- NC BoN and NCCCS should explore whether 1st time NCLEX pass rate is best measure of performance. In this study ~75% of students taking NCLEX on subsequent attempt passed.
Recommendations

Performance Measures

- Adjust evaluation of performance to reflect differences in service populations.

- ADN programs vary substantially in student populations served. Programs in communities with high poverty rates, low quality primary/secondary education systems, and other social challenges should not be penalized for serving population at core of NCCCS mission.

- NC BoN and NCCCS should consider using the risk adjusted graduation measure developed in this study to evaluate & reward program performance.
Recommendations

Best Practices

- Several characteristics of high performing programs emerged from study and could be used by programs wishing to improve graduation and NCLEX rates:
  - Use standardized tests to rank applicants and require basic science competency
  - Require orientation for clinical instructors
  - Seek resources needed to attract/retain Master’s prepared faculty
Recommendations

Registered Nurse Workforce Policy

- BSN-educated nurses less likely to practice in underserved geographic and employment settings.

- Move toward 60:40 ratio of BSN/ADN & diploma nurses needs to be carefully managed to not adversely affect distribution of nurses into geographic areas and practice settings that experience chronic shortages.

But, not all BSN-educated nurses are alike.
Recommendations

Educational Mobility

- Slightly more than 1 in 10 RNs who complete ADN program go onto BSN.
- ADN nurses who go onto to complete the BSN were almost as likely as ADN nurses who did not pursue additional education to practice in rural areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Nurses Practicing in Non-Metropolitan North Carolina Counties by Initial and Highest Degree, 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial Degree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Highest Degree</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Practicing in Non-Metropolitan County</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recommendations

Educational Mobility

- Key finding: BSN nurses who first earned an ADN degree are more likely to practice in rural areas and in high need employment settings.

- Implication: As state moves towards increasing ratio of BSN nurses, there needs to be careful planning of pathways for ADN nurses to pursue BSN education.
Recommendations

Educational Mobility

- First step: State Board could request that the NC General Assembly direct that a Nursing Articulation Legislative Study be conducted to identify barriers and opportunities for ADN nurses to pursue BSN education.
Recommendations

**Investing in Ongoing Workforce Analyses to Inform State Health Workforce Policy**

- Decisions about whether to open/expand programs, change regulation of education programs and other policy decisions are source of contentious debate.

- Need objective, timely, and data-driven analyses to inform policy makers and create evidence base.

- NCCCS should pursue funding to support additional workforce analyses to policy makers about where to best invest scarce resources to meet demands of NC’s rapidly growing and aging population.
Final Thoughts and Reflections

Data, findings and methods employed in this study:

- Have potential to influence state and national policy
- Provide model for future inter-agency cooperation and workforce analyses
- Underscore importance of objective analyses to confront difficult policy issues
- Have provided Sheps team with tremendous learning opportunity
Questions?